

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,851	Applicant(s) RORIG ET AL.	
	Examiner JACOB J. CIGNA	Art Unit 3726	

All Participants:

(1) JACOB J. CIGNA.

(2) Charles Musserlain.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Time: 12:45pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of Claim 1 over prior art in general, and 35 USC 112.

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None specifically

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner asked Attorney to combine claims 1 and 2 into a single claim, and to fix the 112 error in claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)